

Rochester, NY 14650-2201

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Douglas L. Vizard 86161SLP 2357 07/23/2003 10/625,376 **EXAMINER** 7590 06/18/2004 **BOUTSIKARIS, LEONIDAS** Thomas H. Close Patent Legal Staff ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street 2872

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				100	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	**	
Office Action Summary		10/625,376	VIZARD ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Leo Boutsikaris	2872		
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	uly 2003.			
•		·			
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers		,		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ			
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mai 5)  Notice of Informa 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-15	(2)	

Art Unit: 2872

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the word "comprising". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 includes the phrase "the nominal cut-off wavelength of the excitation filter" within a parenthesis. It is not clear whether the above limitation is part of the claimed invention or not. Furthermore, the term "excitation filter" lacks antecedent basis. Finally, it is suggested that the word "at" is inserted after "1" in line 2 of the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2872

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carabba (US 5,112,127).

Carabba discloses an imaging system for imaging Raman spectra from samples (Fig. 1) comprising:

a lens 40 for imaging a fluorescent image on an electronic sensor (via optical fiber 42);

a dichroic bandpass filter 20 positioned in front of the lens for passing the emission spectrum of the fluorescent image and for filtering out excitation light from excitation source (via optical fiber 12);

a high pass filter 44 positioned between the lens 40 and the dichroic filter 20, for filtering any stray wide angle excitation light passed by the dichroic filter 20 (lines 39-51, col. 2, and lines 17-67, col. 3).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carraba (US 5,112,127) in view of Marron (US 2004/0075844).

Art Unit: 2872

Carabba discloses all the limitations of the above claim except for specifying that the optical density of filter 44 is greater than 1 at the appropriate cut-off wavelength. Marron discloses an optical imaging system (Fig. 2), wherein the optical density of optical filter 92 is adjusted/optimized for optimizing the imaging conditions of the optical system ([0064]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the optical density of filter 44 of Carabba's system, as taught by Marron, for better signal-to-noise ratio of the imaged data. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the optical density to the claimed range, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). The greater the optical density of the optical filter is, the greater the discrimination achieved.

Hoyt discloses a fluorescence imaging system (Fig. 5) wherein a pair of two filters 22 and 41 is placed in front of the imaging lens 23, the first filter 41 for providing additional rejection of any stray or scattered excitation light (lines 42-47, col. 5).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Leo Boutsikaris whose telephone number is 571-272-2308.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Art Unit: 2872

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leo Boutsikaris, Ph.D. Patent Examiner, AU 2872

June 15, 2004